Preserve

App F IX-4

Previous References 1934 (Jul)

File No 40-34
Serial Nos 39, 40
59, 62, 63

Letter References

PRESERVED

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos 5

Part B for August 35

SUBJECT

Acquisition of properties in Jamkhandi Town for opening out a conservancy lane behind the house of Mr Ramachandra Setty in Agrahar Street, Inam Town

To, 1) DC Jamkhandi with record
2) DC y Land Records
3) Comptroller
Ep. No 1666-8 dated 30-8-35
Sanctions
2 The Comptroller for publication
Notification No L1669 dated 30 8 35

WD 03607—GPB—22 500—2-8 35

10/10/35

Petition of Subbamma Chickpet
Tumkur agt the acquisition of her
property

3429 I
40

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No — of 193 — [App F III—2

Serial No	
27	Petition dated 31-1-35 from Subbamma, owner of house No 1/878 Chickpet, Tumkur Town praying that her properties may not be acquired for the proposed conservancy lane as it will deprive her of the very small holding she has
	1) The file publishing particulars of the properties proposed to be acquired is placed below for perusal. The notification being a preliminary one, objections will have to be preferred before the Acquisition Officer. The declaration statements in the case do not seem to have been received yet but by the time whether the petition may be forwarded to the D.C. for disposal and the party informed to approach the L.A. Officer in the matter. Memo on the petn and a draft endt to the petn are submd for consideration
	3) Mr Rose Morgan
	4) The connected file may be perused if any other may be considered necessary
	Petition dated 14-2-35 from Mr H Subbarao Retd Excise Asst Inspector (husband of Subbamma the owner of the house) requesting that the acquisition proceedings may be dropped
	5) The file refers in the margin above is

mr 35-33-28
Flag B

2) I think they have been addressed a reminder which was made to the Deputy Commr for calling for further information

12/2/35

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 01955—GPB—22 500—12-6-34

3429 ✓ 363 ✓

File No 46 of 1934 | General and Revenue Secretariat

LF 2109

Circles be consulted for formal The acquisition of land to Interim Letter relating to a conservancy line does not refer to the case of the petitioner but refers to one Abdul Kareem Sahib.

2) Recommended for orders under reference to Para 4 above

15/2/35

7) The petition may be sent to the Dy Coll for consideration before submitting final declaration Status for the acquisition of the land.

5044/1912

Dy Coll

8) [illegible]

9) Draft sent for approval

25/3/35

10) None

27 FEB 1935 GENL & REV SECTT

Letter No L 6122 dated 5/3/35 from the Dy Coll Penukonda Dt. forwarding for consideration and report the petition referred to above together with the result of the declaration Statement

Sec A

Letter no L.A. No. 69/33-34 dt 24-7-35 from the Dy Coll, Penukonda, forwarding a report on the petition and petition referred to him and recommending sanction to the proposed acquisition.

11/37

36370

Revenue [illegible] File No 240 of 1934 [App F III—2

Serial No

11) After a personal inspection of the spot, the Dy. Comm is of opinion that opening out the existing lane of 12 feet width is quite necessary, and recommends the acquisition of the properties in question

12) Filed with reference para 7 & 8 of the notes supra, alongwith 3 Sketches for consideration

9/8/35 9/9/35

In a similar case of acquisition in Trunkroad Mess, the Dy Secy/Mess asked for some information & the Executive Govt up-country files.

9/8

Mr file 40-36-29 referred to above & forwarded for kind perusal. Sketches are to be returned

Dy Secy/Mess 16/8 16/8 21/8

Switched headlights

TYPING SECTION 1189 6 AUG 1935 REV SECTN

62 To Secy, Industries, with 2 Sketches

" Engr 16/8 dy 30-8-35

Sheet.

WD 01925—GPB—22 500—12-6-34

Secretary, [illegible] returned herewith

File No of 193 General and Revenue Secretariat

59

3429

L

L

M. Venkatnaranappa Esquire
Development Secretary to
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Most respected & honoured Sir

Re, no L 7268-Ml 38-33-28
dated 9th april 1934

H Subbamma owner of house no 1/808 in which house 300 sq ft is proposed to be acquired begs as follows - From the beginning all matters have been meshed up & I am tried to be deceived by Mr Ramachandra chetty the biggest Landlord & the money lender of Sunkur who has usurped the wall of my back yard & has further tried always keeping me without any knowledge to get a further acquirement of the above 300 sq ft only to the benefit of Mr Ramachandra chetty & none else by the Sunkur side or other - which fact has been closely observed during his inspection of the spot & he [illegible] my statement only to acquire 6 six ft, to all - all required to open out a blind lane which is not at all ft to be opened I now learn that the Deputy Commissioner has further inspected the spot without my presence & without caring to consider my complaints

to acquire only 300 s.y. which is 12 ft. wide in my back yard which measures my two allotments yielding less although equally 6 ft. width of adjoining land can be made available in the back yard of Mr Ramachandra chetty which is a convenient vacant site

Although Mr. Ramachandrachetty is one among us to give equal portion of land he has been shown the greatest concession & has been lost sight off now to acquire all the land only from the three persons as shown in the notified schedule is really causing the greatest injustice to only three of us leaving Mr. Ramachandra chetty aloof though this is meant really to benefit Mr Ramachandrachetty alone

under the circumstances, six ft. width of land may be acquired in Mr Ramachandra-chetty's back yard which is a large spacious & more convenient vacant site to be acquired. I invite your kind attention to the records made by the surveyor sub division officer in the matter & kindly set aside the construction of 12 ft. width of land & further request that if your honour will kindly inspect the spot & enquire into the matter in detail your honour will be able to get at the truth & the greatest injustice has been caused to me. which may be kindly investigated & the matter set right for which act of kindness, I shall feel highly grateful. I beg to remain

Most respected & honoured Sir

Your humble petitioner
Sd. H Subramania
Door No 508
Owner of house no 1-878
Chuck Pet

31.1.35

Presented by Mr. M. Subba Rao

16.2.35

To

for M/ please put up with the connected file

3637
L

GEN. & REV. SECTT.
15 FEB 1935
L.S.G. BRANCH

The Secretary to Government of Mysore
Development department

Bangalore

Most respected & honoured Sir

With reference to No L 7268-ml 38-33-28 dated 9th April 1934 —

I beg to state that I am the owner of house of subbamma mentioned in the 2nd column of the schedule of the above notification & it is I who has transferred the patta of the house in the name of my ~~wife~~ for some reason myself having no male heirs

I beg to state that—my house no 1/878 happening to be by the side of the houses of Ramachandrachetty & Narainchetty who have built several houses & have given out for rent being the richest money lenders of Tumkur Although there are already three conservancy lanes on the 3 sides of my house, Mr Ramachandra chetty & Narainchetty brothers being the municipal authorities at Tumkur have used their influence to see that a fourth conservancy blind lane is opened to help them to open out more latrines for the use of their tenants in their adjoining buildings to benefit them with more house rents To succeed in

Their above attempt, they have tried to hush up all informations about it to us in spite of which, as the matter was referred to Tumkur Revenue Sub division officer for report he has personally inspected the spot & has found out that the opening of this blind lane abreast is quite unnecessary & even if the Chief officer wants to have a lane (blind) opened he may have it only for six feet width of land which is quite sufficient for the purpose this fact has been agreed to by all the adjoining house holders & even Ramachandra-chetty of at least only 6 ft width of land is acquired I shall be saving my two cocoanut trees which are yielding fruits which may be safely done without causing any thing bad to any one. I beg to state that there is a wall between the back yard of Ramachendra setty & my house which is mine & this wall has been usurped now by Ramachendra chetty by opening out the blind lane proposed & next to that wall against six feet width of land will go away from my back yard Another six feet width of land may safely be acquired from Ramachandra chetty's back yard which fact has been purposely lost sight off to benefit the Mr Ramachandra chetty who put a wall the cost of which is hundreds of rupees which is quite visible.

this fact may be kindly considered & a high road may be opened in Ramachandra-chetty's back yard which is spacious vacant site & may be joined on both sides to high roads which was seen by the municipal president & even expressed the desire in that problem. & was somehow

Hed to have it done

If your honour will kindly
inspect the spot in question your
honour will be aware of what
all injustice has been tried to
be done to me by opening out the
blind lane under reference -

under these circumstances I
beg of your honour to kindly
peruse the opinion of the Surveyor
Sub division officer which must
be in the connected file of records
which fact has been lost sight of
by the deputy commissioner as neither
my wife or myself knew the time
when the deputy commissioner
inspected the spot the deputy commr
is perhaps of opinion of the chief
officer who is bent upon helping the
Ramachanora chetty & narain chetty
who are also municipal authorities
as a sort of obligation which
is quite unlawful & quite disturbance
to me & of no good to public -

If at all the blind lane proposed
is to be sanctioned, only six feet width
of land may be sanctioned from my
back yard & which is quite sufficient &
& if more land is to be acquired for
nothing at all, the same may be
sanctioned to be acquired from the
back yard of Ramachenora chetty

will not be making any partiality to any body. & quite an unnecessary blind lane may be had. & all the 12 ft width of land is taken out of my back yard alone I will be completely gone & the matters become worse & I will be exposed to difficulties.

I beg to remain
Most respected & honoured sir
your most obedient servant
H Subbarao Retd Excise Head Constable
& husband of Subbamma
mentioned in the referred schedule

14-2-35

G –1]

SUBJECT

Section nil
File No 40-34
Serial No 40

No L 6122

To

The D C Tuticorin Dt

Sir

In continuation of the office
letter memo No L 7270/ dated 7/4/34
forwarding copy of letter No L 7269/
nil 38-32-29 dated 9-4-34 to the
Compiler, Tuticorin gazette, in regard of the
publication of the details of the property proposed
to be acquired for opening out a Conser-
vancy lane behind the house of Mr
Ramachandra Setty in Appakar
street in Tuticorin Town. I am directed
to forward herewith, for
Consideration and report, two petitions

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193

Date of issue 5-3-35

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by 25/2/35

Examined by

dated 31/1/35 and 14/2/35 from Sri Subbamma and Mr H Subba Rao respectively objecting to the proposed acquisition on the ground that it will affect their premises adversely and to request you to kindly forward the report before the final declaration Statement for Acquiring the lands are sent to Govt. The petns may kindly be sent with the report.

Thanking you

Yours faithfully

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L 6122
Rel 40-34-40

Dated, Bangalore, 28th February — 1935.
5-3-35

From

The *Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Development Department*

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District, Tumkur.

Sir,

In continuation of this office Memo No L.7270 dated 7th April 1934 forwarding copy of letter No.L 7269/Ml.38-32-29 dated 9th April 1934 to the Compiler, Mysore Gazette in respect of the publication of the details of the property proposed to be acquired for opening out a conservancy lane behind the house of Mr Ramachandra Setty in Agrahar street in Tumkur Town, I am directed to forward herewith, for consideration and report, two petitions dated 31st January 1935 and 14th February 1935 from Sri Subbamma and Mr H.Subba Rao respectively objecting to the proposed acquisition on the ground that it will affect their premises adversely and to request you to kindly forward the report before the final declaration statement for acquiring the lands are submitted to Government.

The petitions may kindly be returned with the report

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Secretary to Government,
to Development Department

hks.2

LSH
27735

ml 40-34-59 472
L

P.A.R 49/33-34
Encl.file & 4 stts.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.
Dated, Camp-Midagesi, 24th July 35

From

T. Abdul Khadir, Esq , B.A.,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District.

To

M Venkatanaranappa, Esq , B A ,
Development Secretary to Government of Mysore,
BANGALORE

Sir,

With reference to your Memo No L.7270 dated 7-4-34 and the subsequent communication No.L.6122/ML.40-34-40 dated 5-3-1935 re the acquisition of properties required for opening out a conservancy lane behind the house of Mr Ramachandra Setty in Agrahar Street, in Tumkur Town, I have the honor to state that in response to the Preliminary notification one Mr H Subba Rao and his wife Sri Subbamma put in objection petitions before the Sub Division Officer, Tumkur, stating that the Municipality first proposed to acquire only 6 ft of land and that if 12 ft of land as now proposed is acquired, they will be put to much inconvenience and loss of two cocoanut trees etc. The Sub Division Officer after hearing the objection petitioners and inspecting the spot, for reasons explained in his report No.P R.467/33-34 dated 2-7-34, suggested that a lane of only 6 ft wide may be formed. The Municipal President who was consulted in the matter having opined that a conservancy lane should always be either 15 ft or 12 ft and if only a 6 ft. lane is formed, it will not serve any useful purpose, I inspected the spot on 13-11-34 and agreeing with the proposal of the Municipal Council to open a conservancy lane of 12 ft wide, called for final declaration statements from the President. In the meanwhile, I received your above mentioned communication dated 5-3-35 and sent the same togetherwith the two petitions received therewith, to the President Town Municipal Council for report after reconsideration. The President in reply has stated that for reasons fully set-forth in his letter No.H C.118/33-34 dated 17-6-35/3-7-35(enclosed with the file), a space of 12 ft.as already

already proposed, for opening out the conservancy lane is quite necessary in the interest of other persons referred to in his letter. I quite agree with the president and recommend that the acquisition of the properties in question may kindly be sanctioned.

The connected records and the declaration Statements are enclosed. The cost of acquisition will be met out of the Municipal funds of the Tumkur Town Municipality as already reported.

The Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Tumkur Sub Division may kindly be authorised to take order for the acquisition.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

P.K.

DRAFT

Subject_____

Section 2nd

File No 40 – 34

Serial No 62

Diary No of letter replied to

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

the _ _ _ _ *19* _

Date of issue 30-8-35

Signed by Asst Secy

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read –

Letter No LAR 49 / 33-34 dated 24-7-35 from the DC, Tumkur

recommending sanction to the acquisition of property in the Tumkur Town ~~village of~~ ~~Taluk~~ required for opening out a conservancy lane between the house of Sri Ramachandra Setty on Agrahara Street, Tumkur Town

ORDER No L 1666-8 DATED

The acquisition of the property recommended above is sanctioned, the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Tumkur Sub Division being appointed to perform the functions of a Deputy Commissioner under the Land Acquisition Regulation and authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said property

2. The declaration statements in English and Kannada will be forwarded to the Compiler, Mysore Gazette for publication

3 The cost of acquisition ~~may as proposed~~ be met from the funds of the Tumkur Town Municipality

Secretary to Government

DSpl

posting of rent nos 877, 878, 879

To
The DC, Tumkur for info
" Dy C of Rev
" Compt
Accts

Under Section 6 of the Land Acquisition Regulation No VII of 1894, it is hereby
~~granted~~ Rao Ramachendra Shetty's house in Agra
and under Sections 7 and 3 (C) of the said Regulation, the Assistant Commissioner in cha

District	Taluk	Hobli	Village	Name of khatedar or owner	~~Survey Number~~ or Muni No	Whether dry wet, garden vacant site, house (tiled, terraced or mudroofed)	Total extent		Kharab	
							8		9	
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	~~A~~ or yds	~~g~~ or ft	A	g
			1	Rao Ramachendra Shetty	877	Back-yard of the house of the owner.	115' x 19' 2185 ft 242 77 S.No			
			2	Subbamma	878	do	115' x 25' 2875 ft 319 44 S.No			
			3	Rao papiah	879	A portion of the vacant site of the owner	115' x 20' 2300 ft 255 55 S.No			

Taluk Town Municipality

A plan of the property can be available in the office of the Deputy Commissioner

To

The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, for publication in three successive issues of the Gazette.

DATION No

nd described hereunder is required for a public purpose, *viz* for opening a conservancy lane
Lt. Tumkur Town
Muni Subbamma Road is authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said land

WD 02544—GPB—100 -11-5 35

Assessment			Extent now required					Boundaries				Remarks
			Extent		Assessment			East	West	North	South	
11			12		13			14	15	16	17	18
Rs	a	p	A or yds	g or ft	Rs	a	p					
7	8		12x 19 2 228 S.ft or 25-38 S 488		-	10		Road	Mr Rama Chandra Shetty's House	Messrs Rama Chandra Shetty's and Ra rajula Shetty's House	Subba Muni House and backyard	
7	8		12x 25 2 300 S.ft or 33 33 S.488			12		Do	Mr Ra- machar and Shetty's House and back yard	Mr Rama Chan- dra Shetty's House	vacant Site of papiah	
	12		12x 20-2 240 S ft or 26.66 S-488			1		Do	Back yard of Mr Ra machar and Ra Shettys House	Back yard of Subba muni	Road	
				07	101-6	3	5		Town	Municipal Council		

H Venkatasubbaiah
Secretary to the Government of
H H the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Rev Department

1894ನೆಯ ವರ್ಷದ 7ನೆಯ ನಂಬರ್ ಅಧ್ಯಾಪಿಕ್ಷನ್ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ ೫ನೆಯ ಪ್ರಕರಣವನ್ನು ನುಸರಿಸಿ ಇಷ್ಟರಿಂದ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧ
ಆವಶ್ಯಕವಾಗಿದೆ, ಮತ್ತು ಕೋಲ್ಕಂಪ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನಿನ 7 ಮತ್ತು 3 (೦) ಪ್ರಕರಣಗಳನ್ನು ನುಸರಿಸಿ ನೋಟಿಸ

ಪಟ್ಟಿ ನಂಬರು	ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು	ಹೋಬಳಿ	ಗ್ರಾಮದ ನಂಬರು	ಖಾತೇದಾರನ ಯಾ ಮಾಲೀಕನ ಹೆಸರು	ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂಬರು ಪಟ್ಟಿ ನಂಬರು	ಖುಷ್ಕಿ, ತರಿ ಯಾ ಬಾಗಾಯಿತು ಯಾ ಖಾಲಿನಿವೇಶನ ಜಾಗ (ದೊಂಚಿನ ತಾರ್ಸಿ ಯಾ ಮಣ್ಣಿನ ಛಾವಣಿ ಮನೆ)	ಒಟ್ಟು ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ ಎಕರೆ-ಸೂಬೆ ಯಾ ಗ ಅ	ಎಕರೆ.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
			೧	ಮು॥ ಚೆನ್ನಮಂಚಿಧನಪ್ಪ	377	ಮಜವಿಜಿಕ್ಕಲಿ	118' x 14' =	
						ಜೋಳದ ದ್ರೈಪೂ	21 ಡಿವಿಜನ್ ಅಡಿ ಲಾಂ	
						ಇಡ ಜೂನ	24ಕ್ಕೆ 77 ಪಟ್ಟ	
			೨	ಮುನಿಸ್ವಾಮಿ	878	ಅಂಮ್ಮೂ	118' x 28' =	
							28ಕ್ಕೆ ವಜೆ ಅಡಿಲಾಂ	
							3194 ಚದರ	
			೩	ಮು॥ ವೆಂಕಟಪ್ಪ	879	ಮಜವಿ ಅಂಕಾದ	118' x 20' =	
						ಜೋಳ ಬಿಳಿಜೋಳ	2300 ಚದರ ಅಡಿಲಾಂ	
						ದ್ರೈ ಕಣಂಬೆ ಜೂನ	2ಕ್ಕೆ 77 ಪಟ್ಟ	

ಕಾಲಂ ೧ ರಿಂದ ೪ ರವರೆಗೆ ಸರ್ವೆ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವಂತೆ ಬರೆಯಬೇಕು

ಮೈಸೂರು ಗೆಜೆಟ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸಿದ್ಧಪಡಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸೂಪರಿಂಟೆಂಡರ್ ಗೆಜೆಟ್ಟಿನ ಕಂಪೆನಿಗೆ

ವಿವಿಧ ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಎಂದರೆ [illegible] ...ಚಾರ್ಜ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೆಂಟ್ ಕಮಿಷನರಿಗೆ ಸದರಿ ಜವಿಾನನ್ನು ಹೊಂದುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕ್ರಮಜರಿಗಿಸಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದೆ

ಕಂದಾಯ			ಈಗ ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ			ಚತುರ್ಗಡಿ				ದರಾಗಳು
			ವಿಸ್ತೀರ್ಣ			ಪೂರ್ವ	ಪಶ್ಚಿಮ	ಉತ್ತರ	ದಕ್ಷಿಣ	
ರೂ	ಆ	ಪೈ	ಎಕರೆ ಗುಂಟೆ ಯಾ ಗ ಆ	ರೂ	ಆ	ಪೈ				
11			12	13			14	15	16	17	18
7	8	0	12 x 19 = 228 ಚ.ಅಡಿಯೂ 228 ಚ.ಗಜಕ್ಕೆ		10	ರಸ್ತೆ	ಮುಂ.ರಾಮಪ್ಪನ ಮುಂದಿನ ರಾಮಪ್ಪನ ಮಗನ ಸೀತಾರಮಯ್ಯನ	ಜಂಪ್ಪಯ್ಯನ ಪುತ್ರ ವಂಶಸ್ಥನಾರಾಯಣಶೆಟ್ಟರ ಮನೆ	ಮರಮನೆವಂಶಸ್ಥ ಕಿರ್ತಿಲು	
7	8	0	12 x 12 = 300 ಚ.ಅಡಿಯೂ 36 ಚ.ಗಜಕ್ಕೆ		12	ಅಡಿಯೂ	ಮುಂದಾಮಪ್ಪನ ಮಗ ಹನುಮಂತಯ್ಯನ ಸೀತಾರಮಯ್ಯನ ಪಶ್ಚಿಮ ಕಿರ್ತಿಲು	ತಿಮ್ಮಪ್ಪಶೆಟ್ಟರ ಮನೆ	ನಮ್ಮದಾಗಿರುವ ನಿವೇಶನ	
	12	0	12 x 20 = 240 ಚ.ಅಡಿಯೂ 26 ಚ.ಗಜಕ್ಕೆ		1	ಅಡಿಯೂ	ಮುಂ ರಾಮಪ್ಪನ ಮಗ ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿಯ ಪಶ್ಚಿಮದಲ್ಲಿ ದಿರ್ತಿಲು	ರಸ್ತೆ: ನಮ್ಮದಾಗಿರುವ ಮನೆ ಕಿರ್ತಿಲು			

Ed 16/6/35

K. Venkatarama
Ma President,
Town Municipal Council,
Tumkur

ಅಪ್ಪಣೆಯ ಮೇರಿಗೆ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ [illegible] ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read:-

Letter No.L.A.R.49/33-34 dated 24.7.1935, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, recommending sanction to the acquisition of the marginally noted properties in the Tumkur Town required for opening out a conservancy lane behind the home of Mr. Ramachandra Setty in Agrahar Street, Tumkur Town.

Portions of Municipal Nos.877, 878 and 879.

ORDER NO. L 1666-8
Rev 40-34-62

Dated, Bangalore, the 28th/30 August 1935.

The acquisition of the properties recommended above is sanctioned, the Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Tumkur Sub Division being appointed to perform the functions of a Deputy Commissioner under the Land Acquisition Regulation and authorised to take order for the acquisition of the said properties.

2. The declaration statements in English and Kannada will be forwarded to the Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication.

3. The cost of acquisition will be met from the funds of the Tumkur Town Municipality.

Asubba Rao
for Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District, with records.
" Director of Land Records in Mysore.
" Comptroller to Government.

K.M.R.4.